UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Government,

Vs.

SAMY MOHAMMED HAMZEH,

Defendant.

)

Case No. 16-CR-21

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

March 21, 2018

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA PEPPER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Official Transcriber: Richard Derrick Ehrlich, RMR, CRR richard_ehrlich@wied.uscourts.gov (414) 290-2642

Proceedings recorded by electronic recording. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Government: Gregory J. Haanstad Paul L. Kanter
4 5	United States Department of Justice Office of the U.S. Attorney 517 E. Wisconsin Street
6	Milwaukee, WI 53202 414.297.1700 greg.haanstad@usdoj.gov
7	paul.kanter@usdoj.gov
9	For the Defendant: Craig W. Albee Federal Defender Services of
10 11	Wisconsin, Inc. 517 E. Wisconsin Street Room 182
12	Milwaukee, WI 53202 414.221.9900
13	craig_albee@fd.org Joseph A. Bugni
14 15	Appearing Telephonically Federal Defender Services of Wisconsin, Inc.
16	22 E. Mifflin Street Suite 1000 Madison, WI 53703
17 18	
19	
20	Samy Mohammed Hamzeh, defendant, present in person.
21 22	
23	
24 25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Transcribed From Audio Recording

* * *

THE CLERK: The Court calls the Criminal Case 2016-CR-21, United States of America vs. Samy Hamzeh.

Please state your appearances starting with the attorneys for the Government.

MR. HAANSTAD: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Gregory Haanstad and Paul Kanter for the United States.

MR. ALBEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Craig
Albee and Joe Bugni appearing with Mr. Hamzeh.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Good afternoon,
Mr. Hamzeh.

We had set this for a status conference ahead of the trial that we had I think most recently set for June 18th of this year. There were some moving parts and pieces. One of the reasons we adjourned the trial date the last time was because Mr. Albee and Mr. Bugni had been trying their best to go through the recorded conversations of which there are a number, and they're in Arabic, but it was taking longer to be able to get the translations of those Arabic transcripts than anybody had thought it might, and so they were working through that and trying to process that.

In addition, there were some motions in front of

Judge Duffin. I think Judge Duffin has resolved those. The motion for Brady materials I think he has resolved. And then there was a motion to dismiss Count 2 of the indictment. I know he's written an R & R on that, or report and recommendation, and there have been some objections filed, and so that's now on my plate, and we're working on that. I don't have a decision for you yet, but hopefully we'll have one relatively soon.

And so we had scheduled this status conference, I think, in part just to kind of see where we were and see if things were continuing to move and if we had hit any bumps in the road toward that June 18th trial date.

And I understand that there had been some communications with the parties with my office, and that there may be some issues that have come up. I don't know. But I'll leave that to you all to report your respective positions on where things are and how things are looking.

So perhaps let me start with the Government.

Mr. Haanstad. Mr. Kanter.

MR. HAANSTAD: Thank you, Your Honor.

The parties are still continuing to work together to try to reconcile and work toward a working copy or set of working copies of transcripts for the trial. I wouldn't say we've hit bumps in the road as you put it, but I think that we've got a more realistic sense now of how long that

process is going to take, which is kind of what we expected when what happened when we moved the last trial date to June.

I think that the parties are in agreement that we could use and need additional time to continue to work on those issues.

I think the last time that we were in court, we not only set June 18th as a tentative trial date, but we also, I think, discussed -- and if we didn't discuss it with the Court, the parties at least discussed a possible alternative trial date in the middle or end of August. And my sense is that the parties are in agreement that that's a date that would work much better and would allow the parties to continue to work on these issues.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Haanstad.
Mr. Albee or Mr. Bugni.

MR. ALBEE: Yeah, Judge. I may give a little bit longer summary, but it ends up in the same place as Mr. Haanstad does.

With respect to transcripts, I mean, it's true.

As Mr. Haanstad indicated, it is just a difficult process.

And I think in going through the transcripts we had and our translator going through some, it appears some were mislabeled. There's some with significant errors. There's a number that are pretty good right off the bat with only

minor corrections. And, you know, both parties recognize that we really need to have accurate transcripts. I think it still looks promising that we'll have stipulated versions of transcripts to use for trial, which would ultimately save a lot of time rather than having competing translators testify as to what things mean.

So we're hopeful that -- and, you know, our concern is that Mr. Hamzeh has been waiting for a trial for a long time, but the transcripts do need to be accurate.

Yesterday we received a number of discovery items from the Government that I've had a chance to look at in a very cursory fashion. It looks like there's approximately 800 pages of surveillance reports, some text messages between the informants and FBI agents. There was a firearms report, and then there are some photos and videos relating to the surveillance. I think that's the main thing. And a few additional unredacted reports, I guess, might be the other thing.

The Court noted that Judge Duffin had ruled on our Brady motion. We will be filing an appeal from that order, which I think is currently due tomorrow. I would ask if anyone has an objection to an additional day on that, there's some things in the materials we received yesterday that I might incorporate into that pleading. So I neglected to ask the Government beforehand. That was my oversight,

but I was hoping to have an extra day on that.

THE COURT: Okay. That decision from Judge Duffin was on March $8^{\mbox{th}}.$

MR. ALBEE: Yeah, that sounds right. So it would be due tomorrow, or I would just ask until Friday.

THE COURT: Any objection from the Government?

MR. HAANSTAD: None, Your Honor. And I also hadn't discussed this with the defense, but the Government was going to ask for additional time also. I won't get into too much of the details of it, but it relates to Judge Duffin's order that the Government turn over the underlying documentation related to the payments that were made to the confidential sources.

The department is just working internally, mainly our office with the FBI, to determine the feasibility of that. So there's a possibility that we would -- depending on how those discussions go, there's a possibility that we would still object to that small part of Judge Duffin's order, and we actually need a little bit more time.

THE COURT: That's what I was going to ask you.

Do you have a sense of what kind of time frame you're looking at?

MR. HAANSTAD: I think two weeks would be sufficient.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I don't think it makes

sense to set one deadline for one party to file an appeal.

MR. ALBEE: And that would be fine, Judge, if we just set all objections off for two weeks.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. ALBEE: We haven't had sufficient time to talk to the Government. With respect to the new discovery, there are some things that we're hoping to flush out, and I guess that would probably be a new motion rather than objections, but we'll address that as need be.

So with that I do think of where the transcripts still are, and having received a decent volume of material yesterday, that additional time would be warranted, particularly if the Court is available in August. We've had a chance to discuss that with Mr. Hamzeh, who is understandably frustrated, but I think if we can get a solid date now, I mean, it's just what's necessary to try this case.

I can tell the Court that we may seek some reconsideration of bond. But in a lesser alternative to that, under -- I think it's 3142(i) -- it does permit the Court to, for example, release Mr. Hamzeh, I'll just say hypothetically, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. to come to our office if the marshals brought him in on a periodic basis to go through discovery. We've been having trouble getting enough time for him to review discovery in Kenosha and

enough time with his lawyers. So, obviously, I'm not asking the Court to respond to that in any way. I just want to give a heads-up that the statute provides for that. And I talked to Mr. Lush in the marshal's office, you know, that -- I mean, that's something that can be done if the Court orders it. So that may be forthcoming.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Albee.

Okay. So let's take care of the first issue, which is the deadline for parties to appeal Judge Duffin's ruling on the motion for release of Brady materials. Two weeks puts us at about April 6th. Does that work for folks knowing that -- probably there are some spring breaks in there somewhere or something. I don't know how that impacts people.

MR. HAANSTAD: It does for the Government,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ALBEE: That'll be fine, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So April 6th, then, is the extended deadline for anybody to file an appeal from Judge Duffin's March 8th order, which is at docket No. 107 relating to the release of Brady materials.

And then you all had indicated August seemed a more realistic trial date given these various issues.

If you'll just give me one second. I apologize.

1 We're still looking at the -- originally we've been operating all along under the two-week estimate. 2 3 Does that still look like what we're talking 4 about? MR. HAANSTAD: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 MR. ALBEE: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. Ms. Wrobel and I were 7 8 just whispering about something that was on the docket. 9 were trying to figure out what it was and whether it can be 10 moved and things of that nature. 11 It looks like the last two weeks of August, August 20th through the 24th and 27th through the 12 31st, if we did some scooching, that we could accommodate 13 14 that. 15 Mr. Kanter is looking hesitant or something. 16 MR. KANTER: I was just going to suggest, Judge, 17 that case is probably going to (indiscernible) Labor Day. 18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 MR. KANTER: And is there any possibility of doing it on the 13th? 20 21 THE COURT: No. That's why I didn't plug it in there because I'm not here the 13th. 22 MR. KANTER: Then it will have to be the 20th 23 through the 27th. I am going to be gone as of 24 September 6th that I (indiscernible). So two weeks and 25

1 two days (indiscernible). 2 THE COURT: So we're now looking at more than two 3 weeks? 4 MR. KANTER: No. I'm just saying if it were 5 (indiscernible). 6 THE COURT: Oh. 7 MR. KANTER: I can do it (indiscernible). THE COURT: Okay. Because I --8 9 MR. KANTER: I don't see any reason why it can't 10 be done in those two weeks (indiscernible). 11 THE COURT: Unfortunately, the first week of August I have, but the week before that doesn't work. And 12 as I indicated, the week of the 13th I'm not available. 13 14 So that's kind all I've got in August. 15 How about for the defense? Mr. Albee? Mr. Bugni? 16 MR. ALBEE: That's fine. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Then let's do that. We'll take the June 18th date off, and we'll schedule the trial to 18 begin on Monday the 20th of August with the assumption and 19 hope that it will conclude by the end of the day on the 20 31st of August. And that being the case, for our final 21 22 pretrial conference, is there any possibility that you all are available the afternoon of the 26th of July, which is 23 24 Thursday? 25 MR. HAANSTAD: Judge, the date appears to be open.

I'm concerned that that's a little bit early to take up some issues that may come up. I just know that it ends up being the case, that is people prepare for trial and get more focused, that things come up a little bit later than that frequently.

THE COURT: Yeah. I guess I'm trying to -- I was actually trying to build in a little bit of a cushion given that -- in a case that's perhaps less fraught with issues, that we can usually manage something like a couple weeks before trial. I didn't want to assume that that would be workable here necessarily, but --

MR. HAANSTAD: Your Honor, maybe if we set some dates earlier than that for filing certain things so at least the parties would have their respective positions to the Court, things like expert disclosures and motions in limine?

THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, the other option -- I'm just looking to see what I've got that's closer. I've got the morning of August 1st. I've got August the 6th, actually, which is a Monday because there's not -- I could do that day.

MR. ALBEE: I think that would work well.

THE COURT: August the 6th? Okay.

How about we do, say, 9:00 a.m. on August the $6^{\mbox{th}}$ for the final pretrial conference?

1 MR. HAANSTAD: Okay. 2 THE COURT: And then as Mr. Haanstad suggests, 3 particularly I would think expert disclosures, maybe 404(b). 4 Is the Government going to be in a position to 5 turn over Jencks Act and Giglio sooner than the usual day or 6 so before trial? 7 MR. KANTER: Most of it has already been turned 8 over, Judge. There's not much left to (indiscernible). 9 MR. HAANSTAD: Other than maybe grand jury 10 transcripts. 11 THE COURT: 3500? And then motions in limine, I'd assume we want to 12 13 kind of get a date a little bit out ahead. 14 So in terms of expert witness disclosures, what's 15 a reasonable -- if we're talking about starting trial on the 20th, from the Government's perspective, what's a 16 17 reasonable disclosure deadline? 18 MR. HAANSTAD: The parties actually have spoken 19 about this a little bit before this hearing. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. HAANSTAD: And had talked about possibly 45 22 days out for the Government and 30 for the defense. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. ALBEE: That makes sense to us. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So we got the July Fourth

1 holiday in there. How about July 2nd for the Government? It's a 2 3 Monday before the holiday. 4 MR. HAANSTAD: Yeah. THE COURT: And then for the defense, July 20th? 5 6 Does that work? 7 MR. ALBEE: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So that's for expert disclosures. 9 Assuming that there's no additional Giglio or 10 anything of that nature, 404(b), do you think that's been 11 disclosed already, or do you need a deadline for that? 12 MR. HAANSTAD: I'm not sure that we'll have any, 13 but it makes sense to set a deadline for it. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So when would it be likely that you think you might know? I know that's a closer to trial 15 16 sort of thing. 17 MR. HAANSTAD: Maybe just a few days before the 18 final pretrial date. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. ALBEE: And, Judge, I don't know where we're 21 thinking about motions and motions in limine. I think 22 Mr. Haanstad and I talked beforehand. We're thinking, like, 23 21 days out. If that were the case, it would be helpful to 24 have 404(b) just at least a few days before that.

THE COURT: Twenty-one days out of the trial date?

25

MR. ALBEE: Yeah, on the motions in limine. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ALBEE: Which I think would be July 30th. I 3 4 don't know whether the Government would be open to providing 5 404(b) some time the previous week? 6 MR. HAANSTAD: Sure. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So if motions in limine are going to be due July 30th, then can we say -- do you want 8 to do the 23^{rd} for 404(b)? 9 10 MR. HAANSTAD: Okay. THE COURT: July 23rd. 11 And then the grand jury transcripts, what were you 12 13 thinking in that regard, Mr. Haanstad? 14 MR. HAANSTAD: I guess I was thinking the typical 24 days before -- sorry -- 24 hours before. 15 16 THE COURT: That's not typical that I'm aware, 17 but, okay. 18 MR. ALBEE: No, but it should be. That's an 19 excellent suggestion. 20 THE COURT: I was going to say, they're not going 21 to fight you on that. 22 Any objection to the standard schedule for grand 23 jury transcripts, Mr. Albee? MR. ALBEE: I guess, I think, at least something 24 25 more like at least a week in advance.

THE COURT: That's just what I was thinking is 1 maybe the 13th of August ahead of the 20th. 2 3 MR. HAANSTAD: Okay. 4 THE COURT: So grand jury transcripts, August 13th. 5 6 So we've covered experts, 404(b), grand jury, 7 motions in limine. 8 MR. ALBEE: We had also discussed, I think, maybe 9 jury instructions 21 days at the same time as the motions in 10 limine. 11 THE COURT: That would be super. That would help 12 me. 13 MR. ALBEE: And I guess I would always give the 14 caveat, there might be a couple that trickle out after that, 15 but we'll try to come up with the core instructions early. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm just looking at putting 17 together a packet that we can work from. 18 MR. ALBEE: And then, Judge, I think I had 19 mentioned this at previous statuses, but we do intend to 20 request a questionnaire, and we'll endeavor to get that to 21 the Government at least 60 days in advance so we get it to 22 the Court hopefully with the agreed-upon questionnaire 23 early, you know, shortly after that. THE COURT: Sure. Obviously, if you can reach an 24

agreed-upon questionnaire, that would be terrific, or in the

25

alternative, at least point out the questions that you have a dispute over. So that will give me an opportunity to take a look at the ones that are of concern.

Thank you. That's helpful.

And in terms of the jury instructions, I think you all might know this, but if you're using standards -- I mean, patterns -- and a number of them will be. I know a number of them won't. But a number of them will be pattern instructions, all you need to do is to let us know the number because we've got them in our system, and so we can just go ahead and include the numbers of pattern instructions. Then all we need to do during the instruction conference is talk about whether something needs to be plural or singular or alternative language or things of that nature.

So the only thing that you need to submit to us, to me, in written form is anything that's not a standard instruction. And I would ask that you get that to me in a Word document. You can put all of your suggested instructions in the same document, that's fine. But that then enables us to compile one set in Word, and then we can work off of that one set.

And, again, we said July 30th for the jury instructions. Same deadline as the motions in limine.

Okay. What else from the Government,

```
1
       Mr. Haanstad?
 2
                 MR. HAANSTAD: Nothing else from the Government,
 3
       Your Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 5
                 Mr. Albee, from the defense?
 6
                 MR. ALBEE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 7
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone.
 8
                 And, Mr. Hamzeh, I know it doesn't seem like it,
 9
       but everybody is working hard here. We're trying to get
10
       there. Okay?
11
                 Thank you, everyone.
12
                 MR. HAANSTAD: Thank you.
13
            (Hearing concluded.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Richard D. Ehrlich, a Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of the audio file provided in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill and ability. s/Richard D. Ehrlich July 18, 2018 Richard D. Ehrlich, Official Court Reporter